

Lecture 13: Independent Bounded Differences Inequality

- Today we shall see a result referred to as the “Independent Bounded Differences Inequality”
- We shall not see the proof of this result today. In the future, when we prove the “Azuma’s Inequality,” the proof of this theorem shall follow as a corollary
- Today, we shall see how a large class of concentration results follow as a consequence of this concentration inequality. In fact, one such consequence shall look very similar to the “Talagrand Inequality,” which we shall study in the next lecture

Independent Bounded Differences Inequality I

- Let $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n$ be sample spaces
- Define $\Omega := \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n$
- Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Let $\mathbb{X} = (\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n)$ be a random variable over Ω such that each \mathbb{X}_i is independent and \mathbb{X}_i is a random variable over the sample space Ω_i

Definition

A function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has *bounded differences* if for all $x, x' \in \Omega$, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that x and x' differ only at the i -th coordinate, then the output of the function $|f(x) - f(x')| \leq c_i$.

We state the following bound without proof.

Independent Bounded Differences Inequality II

Theorem (Bounded Difference Inequality)

$$\mathbb{P} \left[f(\mathbb{X}) - \mathbb{E} [f(\mathbb{X})] \geq E \right] \leq \exp \left(-2E^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \right)$$

Applying the same theorem to $-f$, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[f(\mathbb{X}) - \mathbb{E} [f(\mathbb{X})] \leq -E \right] \leq \exp \left(-2E^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \right)$$

Intuitively, if all $c_i = 1$, the random variable $f(\mathbb{X})$ is concentrated around its expected value $\mathbb{E} [f(\mathbb{X})]$ within a radius of \sqrt{n}

Example

- Note that the Chernoff-Hoeffding's bound is a corollary of this theorem
- Let $\mathcal{G}_{n,p}$ be a random graph over n vertices, where each edge is included in the graph independently with probability p . Note that we have m random variables, one indicator variable for each edge in the graph. Note that the chromatic number of graph is a function with bounded difference.
- Several graph properties like the number of connected components
- Longest increasing subsequence
- Max-load in balls-and-bins experiments
- What about the max-load in the power-of-two-choices?

Applicability and Meaningfulness of the Bounds

- Although the theorem is applicable to a problem, the bound that it produces might not be a meaningful bound
- The bound says that the probability mass is concentrated within $\approx \sqrt{n}$ around the expected value $\mathbb{E} [f(\mathbb{X})]$
- If the expected value $\mathbb{E} [f(\mathbb{X})]$ is $\omega(\sqrt{n})$ then the theorem gives a meaningful bound
- However, if $\mathbb{E} [f(\mathbb{X})]$ is $O(\sqrt{n})$ then the theorem does not give a meaningful bound. For example, the longest increasing subsequence, max-load in balls-and-bins experiments

Hamming Distance

Next we shall see a powerful application of the independent bounded difference inequality. First, let us introduce the definition of Hamming Distance

Definition (Hamming Distance)

Let $x, x' \in \Omega := \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n$. We define

$$d_H(x, x') := \left| \{i: 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } x_i \neq x'_i\} \right|$$

- The Hamming distance of x and x' bounds the number of indices where x and x' differ
- Let $A \subseteq \Omega$ and $d_H(x, A) := \min_{y \in A} d_H(x, y)$.

Definition

The set A_k is defined as follows

$$A_k := \{x \in \Omega: d_H(x, A) \leq k\}$$

Lemma

Let $A \subseteq \Omega$. The following bound holds.

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{X} \in A] \cdot \mathbb{P}[d_H(\mathbb{X}, A) \geq E] \leq \exp(-E^2/2n)$$

Intuition

- Suppose $\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{X} \in A] = 1/2$, then we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{X} \in A_{E-1}] \geq 1 - 2 \exp(-E^2/2n)$$

That is, nearly all points lie within $E \approx \sqrt{n}$ distance from the dense set A

- Note that this result holds for all dense sets A

Proof based on the Bounded Difference Inequality I

- Note that $d_H(\cdot, A)$ is a bounded difference function with $c_i = 1$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- For $\mu := \mathbb{E} [d_H(\mathbb{X}, A)]$, consider the inequality

$$\mathbb{P} [d_H(\mathbb{X}, A) - \mu \leq -E] \leq \exp(-2E^2/n)$$

Substitute $E = \mu$, and we get

$$\mathbb{P} [d_H(\mathbb{X}, A) \leq 0] \leq \exp(-2\mu^2/n)$$

- Note that

$$\mathbb{P} [d_H(\mathbb{X}, A) \leq 0] = \mathbb{P} [\mathbb{X} \in A] =: \nu$$

Now, we can relate the average μ with the density ν of the set A

$$\mu \leq \exp(-2\mu^2/n) \iff \mu \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{2} \log(1/\nu)}$$

Proof based on the Bounded Difference Inequality II

- Now, we apply the other inequality

$$\mathbb{P} [d_H(\mathbb{X}, A) - \mu \geq E] \leq \exp(-2E^2/n)$$

By change of variables, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P} [d_H(\mathbb{X}, A) \geq E] \leq \exp(-2(E - \mu)^2/n)$$

- Case 1: $E \geq 2\mu$. For this case, we conclude that $E/2 \leq (E - \mu)$. So, we have

$$\mathbb{P} [d_H(\mathbb{X}, A) \geq E] \leq \exp(-2(E - \mu)^2/n) \leq \exp(-E^2/2n)$$

- Case 2: $0 \leq E \leq 2\mu$. For this case, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P} [\mathbb{X} \in A] \leq \exp(-2\mu^2/n) \leq \exp(-E^2/2n)$$

- Therefore, the two cases imply that

$$\min \left\{ \mathbb{P} [\mathbb{X} \in A] , \mathbb{P} [d_H(\mathbb{X}, A) \geq E] \right\} \leq \exp(-E^2/2n)$$

This inequality implies that, for all E , we have

$$\mathbb{P} [\mathbb{X} \in A] \cdot \mathbb{P} [d_H(\mathbb{X}, A) \geq E] \leq \exp(-E^2/2n)$$

An Application

(A Slightly weaker-version of) Chernoff-bound

- Consider a uniform distribution over $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^n$
- Let A be the set of all binary strings that have at most $n/2$ 1s. The density of this set is $\geq 1/2$
- A string x with $d_H(x, A) \geq E$ is equivalent to x having $(n/2) + E$ 1s
- So, the probability of a uniformly sampled binary string has $(n/2) + E$ 1s is at most $2 \exp(-E^2/2n)$